

ILC capability of measuring SUSY-contrib. to $(g-2)_{\mu}$

<u>Sho IWAMOTO</u>(岩本 祥) <u>Kavli IPMU</u>, the University of Tokyo, JAPAN

13th Feb. 2014

BURI 2014 @ University of Toyama

Reference)

M. Endo, K. Hamaguchi, SI, T. Kitahara, T. Moroi [1310.4496].





SUSY!

- ✓ Dark matter problem,
- \checkmark Hierarchy problem,
- \checkmark Muon g-2 problem,
- ✓ Grand unification.
- ✓ will be discovered at LHC.













$$\begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mu} \\ \widetilde{\chi}^{0} \\ \widetilde{\chi}^{0$$



Why so complex?

$$\begin{aligned} & : \quad \widetilde{\chi}^{0} = \left(\widetilde{B}, \widetilde{W}^{0}, \widetilde{H}^{0}_{u}, \widetilde{H}^{0}_{d}\right), \\ & \quad \widetilde{\chi}^{\pm} = \left(\widetilde{W}^{\pm}, \widetilde{H}^{\pm}\right), \\ & \quad \overrightarrow{\mu} = \left(\widetilde{l}_{L}, \widetilde{l}_{R}\right). \end{aligned}$$
 We consider $\mu \gg 100 \, \text{GeV}, \\ & \quad M_{2} \gg 100 \, \text{GeV}, \\ & \quad M_{\text{colored}} \gg 100 \, \text{GeV}. \end{aligned}$

$$\begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mu} \\ \widetilde{\chi}^{0} \\ \widetilde{\chi}^{0$$



Why so complex? decoupled

$$\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{\chi}^{0} = \left(\widetilde{B}, \widetilde{W}^{0}, \widetilde{H}_{0}^{0}, \widetilde{H}_{0}^{0}\right), \\ & \widetilde{\chi}^{\pm} = \left(\widetilde{W}^{\pm}, \widetilde{H}^{\pm}\right), \\ & \widetilde{\mu} = \left(\widetilde{l}_{L}, \widetilde{l}_{R}\right). \end{aligned}$$
 \Rightarrow We consider $\mu \gg 100 \, \text{GeV}, \\ & M_{2} \gg 100 \, \text{GeV}, \end{aligned}$

 $M_{\rm colored} \gg 100 \,{\rm GeV}.$





Why so complex? decoupled

$$\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{\chi}^{0} = \left(\widetilde{B}, \widetilde{W}^{0}, \widetilde{H}^{0}_{u}, \widetilde{H}^{0}_{d}\right), \\ & \widetilde{\chi}^{\pm} = \left(\widetilde{W}^{\pm}, \widetilde{H}^{\pm}\right), \\ & \widetilde{\mu} = \left(\widetilde{l}_{L}, \widetilde{l}_{R}\right). \end{aligned}$$
 We consider $\mu \gg 100 \, \text{GeV}, \\ & M_{2} \gg 100 \, \text{GeV}, \end{aligned}$

 $M_{\rm colored} \gg 100 \,{\rm GeV}.$





Why so complex? decoupled

$$\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{\chi}^{0} = \left(\widetilde{B}, \widetilde{W}^{0}, \widetilde{H}^{0}_{u}, \widetilde{H}^{0}_{u}\right), \\ & \widetilde{\chi}^{\pm} = \left(\widetilde{W}^{\pm}, \widetilde{H}^{\pm}\right), \\ & \widetilde{\mu} = \left(\widetilde{l}_{L}, \widetilde{l}_{R}\right). \end{aligned}$$
 We consider $\mu \gg 100 \, \text{GeV}, \\ & M_{2} \gg 100 \, \text{GeV}, \end{aligned}$

 $M_{\rm colored} \gg 100 \,{\rm GeV}.$



What keys should we collect?

• Let's measure a_{μ}^{SUSY} !

What should be measured?







What we will see:

 $\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2, \tilde{\mu}_1, \tilde{\mu}_2, \tilde{\tau}_1, \tilde{\tau}_2$ (& \tilde{B} -LSP) observed @ ILC

 \rightarrow Mass, mixing, couplings can be measured.

 $\rightarrow \underbrace{\tilde{\mu}_{L} - \tilde{\mu}_{R}}_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}} \quad (\simeq a_{\mu}^{\text{SUSY}}) \text{ can be reconstructed.}$



- > Satisfies LEP/LHC constraints.
- > Close to SPS1a(')

→ We can consult Previous works! Don't call us lazy :)

How can we measure

- > Mass of $\tilde{\mu}_1, \tilde{\mu}_2, \tilde{\chi}_0^1$
- > Mixing M_{LR}^2
- > Coupling $\tilde{g}_{L}, \tilde{g}_{R}$?

and How accurately?



How can we measure

> Mass of $\tilde{\mu}_1, \tilde{\mu}_2, \tilde{\chi}_0^1$

End-point analysis $\rightarrow \Delta m_{\tilde{\mu}}, \Delta m_{\text{LSP}} \sim 100-200 \,\text{MeV}$

(dominated by stat. unc.) (~ 0.1%) @ $\sqrt{s} = 500 \,\text{GeV}, \int \mathcal{L} = 500 \,\text{fb}^{-1}$

[ILC-TDR Vol.2 Sec.7.5.4]



Key 2 : Smuon mixing

How can we measure

> Mixing M_{LR}^2

$$\begin{aligned} \sin \theta_{\widetilde{\mu}} &= 0.027, \ M_{LR}^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \left(m_2^2 - m_1^2 \right) \sin 2\theta \\ \sin \theta_{\widetilde{\tau}} &= 0.36, \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\widetilde{\tau}_A \widetilde{\tau}_B) &= \begin{cases} (\cdots) + (\cdots) \cos 2\theta + (\cdots) \cos^2 2\theta & (A = B) \\ (\cdots) \sin^2 2\theta & (A \neq B) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

ILC-TDR Vol.2 Sec.7.5.4 (and Bechtle, Berggren, et al. [0908.0876])

[All values are for the sample mass spectrum.] $19_{/26}$

Key 2 : Smuon mixing
How can we measure

$$\sum \text{Mixing } M_{\text{LR}}^2$$

$$\Delta \sigma(\tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\tau}_1) = \frac{1.8 \,\text{fb}}{54 \,\text{fb}} = 3.4\% \implies \Delta M_{\text{LR}}^2 = 12\%$$

$$\sin \theta_{\tilde{\mu}} = 0.027, M_{\text{LR}}^2 = -\frac{1}{2} (m_2^2 - m_1^2) \sin 2\theta$$

$$\sin \theta_{\tilde{\tau}} = 0.36, M_{\text{LR}}^2 = -\frac{1}{2} (m_2^2 - m_1^2) \sin 2\theta$$

$$\sigma(\tilde{\tau}_A \tilde{\tau}_B) = \begin{cases} \cdots + (\cdots) \cos 2\theta + (\cdots) \cos^2 2\theta & (A = B) \\ (\cdots) \sin^2 2\theta & (A \neq B) \end{cases}$$
Not precise...
$$\Delta M_{\text{LR}}^2 = 12\%$$
(stat. dominated)

ILC-TDR Vol.2 Sec.7.5.4 (and Bechtle, Berggren, et al. [0908.0876])

[All values are for the sample mass spectrum.] $20_{/26}$

Key 2 : Smuon mixingSin
$$\theta_{\tilde{\mu}} = 0.027, M_{LR}^2 = -\frac{1}{2} (m_2^2 - m_1^2) \sin 2\theta$$
Sin $\theta_{\tilde{\mu}} = 0.36, M_{LR}^2 = -\frac{1}{2} (m_2^2 - m_1^2) \sin 2\theta$ Not precise ... $\Delta \sigma(\tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\tau}_1) = \frac{1.8 \text{ fb}}{54 \text{ fb}} = 3.4\% \implies \Delta M_{LR}^2 = 12\%$ Not precise... $\Delta \sigma(\tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\tau}_1) = \frac{1.8 \text{ fb}}{54 \text{ fb}} = 3.4\% \implies \Delta M_{LR}^2 = 12\%$ Should be studied! $\Delta \sigma(\tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\tau}_2) = \frac{??? \text{ fb}}{2.7 \text{ fb}} = \cdots \implies \text{should be studied!}$ $\Delta M_{LR}^2 = \Delta m_{\tilde{\tau}1} \oplus \Delta m_{\tilde{\tau}2} \oplus \Delta \sigma\left(\tilde{\tau}_A^+ \tilde{\tau}_B^-\right)$ \downarrow \downarrow $\sim 0.1\%$ $\sim 3\%$

ILC-TDR Vol.2 Sec.7.5.4 (and Bechtle, Berggren, et al. [0908.0876])

[All values are for the sample mass spectrum.] $21_{/26}$











ẽ

Conclusion / discussion

For the scenario

- $\tilde{e}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\tau} < \text{ILC reach},$



 $\mu_L - \mu_{R_{LC}}$

 $\mu_{\rm R}$

 μ_{L}

Appendix

